Oral Original Clinical Research:	Judge:			
Element & Weight		Scoring Criteria		Score
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1	3	5	
Concept				
Originality	Low	Moderate	High	
Research relevance to clinical				
practice				
Study Design and Execution		_	1.0	
-Objective	1	5	10	
Rationale of why to do study	Unclear statement of rationale and	Unclear statement	Clear statement of nature of rationale	
Precise objective or research question (hypothesis stated)	research question	of either rationale and research	and research	
question (hypothesis stateu)	research question	question	question	
-Design & Subject Selection	1	5	10	
Basic study design identified.	Inappropriate	Inappropriate	Appropriate study	
Adequate numbers, appropriate	study design and	study design or	design and subject	
selection, eligibility and	subject selection	subject selection	selection	
exclusions, randomization	, ,	,		
-Interventions	1	5	10	
Specified what was done or	Intervention	Intervention either	Intervention clear	
administered	unclear	unclear or not	and concise	
		concise		
- Measurements	5	10	15	
Methods adequately described,	Poor description;	Good description;	Excellent	
technically valid. Data is germane	not technically	technically valid;	description;	
to hypothesis	valid or data not	data germane	technically valid;	
D l+-	germane 5	10	data germane	
- Results		10 Results adequately	15 Results well	
Reported clearly Differences significant	Results poorly described;	described,	described,	
If negative, power addressed	significance	including	including	
ii negative, power addressed	questionable	significance	significance	
-Discussion	1	5	10	
Addresses findings, hypotheses	Poor description	Good description of	Excellent	
	of findings and	findings and good	description of	
	weakness	relationship to	findings and	
	associated with	hypothesis	excellent	
	hypotheses		relationship to	
			hypothesis	
-Conclusions	1	5	10	
Conclusions supported by data &	Overly	Conclusions with	Conclusions well	
avoid over-generalization	generalized	adequate specificity	stated	
Conclusions address hypothesis	conclusions Poor correlation	Good correlation to	High correlation to	
	to hypotheses	hypotheses	hypothesis	
Presentation	to hypotheses			
-Organization	1	3	5	
Clear, concise, grammatically	Fair	Good	Excellent	
structured?	- 411	3304		
-Audiovisual aids	1	3	5	
Figures & tables simple, compact,	Fair	Good	Excellent	
self-explanatory? Effective				
graphics?				
-Questions	1	3	5	
Exhibits knowledge of subject	Fair	Good	Excellent	
matter in answering questions.				
- Overall Impression	1	3	5	
	Fair	Good	Excellent	