Oral Clinical Vignette/Case Report:		Judge:		
Element & Weight	Scoring Criteria			Score
	1	5	10	
Case Selection				
Disease topic relevance to clinical practice	Low	Moderate	High	
Content				
-Introduction	1	3	5	
Nature of case stated with clarity including uniqueness or importance	Unclear statement of neither nature of case nor importance	Unclear statement of either case nature or importance	Clear statement of nature of case and importance	
-Description of Case	10	15	20	
Patient Presentation: H&P brevity, clarity, pertinence of data.	Relevant information presented neither clearly nor concisely	Relevant information presented clearly but not concisely	Relevant information presented clearly and concisely	
Evaluation/Management: Ancillary testing appropriate; results support diagnosis; references to standards of care.	No or low correlation	Moderate correlation	Strong correlation	
Outcome clearly stated with adequate follow-up	Outcome unclear and inadequate follow-up	Outcome unclear or inadequate follow-up	Clear outcome with appropriate follow-up	
-Analysis & Literature Review	10	20	30	
Contribution to medical knowledge Implications and relevance to medical practice Conclusions consistent with evidence presented Literature comparisons cited	Minimal contribution; weak relevance; conclusions weak; literature citations inadequate	Moderate contribution; moderate relevance; conclusions good; adequate citations	Strong contribution; strong relevance; conclusions excellent; comprehensive citations	
-Summary	Poor	Good	Excellent	
Presentation				
-Organization	1	3	5	
Clear, concise, grammatically structured?	Fair	Good	Excellent	
-Audiovisual aids	1	3	5	
Figures & tables simple, compact, self-explanatory? Effective graphics?	Fair	Good	Excellent	
-Questions	1	3	5	
Exhibits knowledge of subject matter in answering questions.	Fair	Good	Excellent	
- Overall Impression	1	3	5	
	Fair	Good	Excellent	
			TOTAL SCORE	