Clinical Vignette/Case Presentation

Author/Poster ID#:		Clinical Vignette/ Case Presentation		Judge	
SCORING CATEGORIES	Scoring Criteria (higher number strongly agree)			Score	
INTRO					
Title		0	1	2	
Appropriate title, not misleading					
Introduction / Background	1	2	3	4	
Succinct review of disease and current understanding of pathophysiology Relevant references cited					
Disease Topic	1	2	3	4	
Relevance If obscure, is there an emerging trend in incidence? Special populations? If obscure, put into relevant context (so more than specialists care)					
CASE REPORT					
Patient Presentation	1	2	3	4	
CC, HPI, PMH/PSH, Meds, ROS, Social Hx Physical Exam w/vitals Relevant information presented in clearly & concisely					
Evaluation / Management	1	2	3	4	
Appropriately broad of differential / diagnostic impression Relevant labs, imaging, ancillary data If patient presentation changed, clear timeline and/or re-evaluations? Appropriate comparison/reference made to "standard of care"					
Outcome		0	1	2	
Clearly stated Was adequate follow-up made / documented clearly?					
ANALYSIS					
Discussion, Significance	4	6	8	10	
Contribution to medical knowledge Comparison to literature / other studies or cases Implications/Applications for pathophysiology / medical practice made Scholarly questions generated by case Originality in approach to problem - Osteopathic principles considered					
PRESENTATION					
Presentation	4	6	8	10	
Poster well organized, easy to follow Fonts large enough - no wasted white space Text short, not long paragraphs Advantageous use of tables, graphs, data, photos, etc. Technical level appropriate for most physicians Poster easily understood without presenter explanation					
				TOTAL SCORE	